An overview of Regulation for Medical Devices in China (1/2)



Regulation and Registration of medical devices in China

In China, activities related to medical devices, including their manufacturing, marketing, distribution, and sale, are mainly regulated by the Regulations on Supervision and Administration of Medical Devices, most recently amended in May 2017. The National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) is the governmental authority principally responsible for the supervision and administration of medical devices.

English version of their website: http://english.nmpa.gov.cn/

Other Chinese Regulatory Authorities

- ☐ China Food & Drug Administration (CFDA): responsible for medical devices, drugs, and healthcare services.
- ☐ Center for Medical Device Evaluation (CMDE): responsible for conducting the dossier review during the medical device registration process.
- ☐ General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection, and Quarantine (AQSIQ): conducts mandatory safety registration, certification, and inspection for certain devices.

Classification

Similar to the United States, a three-class classification system, from Class I (lowest risk) to Class III (highest risk). Local testing and clinical trials are generally required for Class II and Class III devices.

Some imported devices may need to register with a higher level government authority than domestic devices.

To determine the classification of a medical device, a manufacturer refers to

- the Medical Device Classification Catalogue
- the classification notices by NMPA and
- for Medical the Rules Device Classification

Devices not specifically covered by the Medical Device Classification Catalogue or other relevant regulatory documents/guidance should be treated as Class III, or the manufacturer can submit an application to seek classification determination opinion from NMPA.

Regulatory Pathways

Regulations make a distinction between "domestic" and "imported" devices

Domestic devices

- ☐ Class I devices only require record-filing in the local NMPA branch
- ☐ Class II and Class III devices require a registration application.
 - Class II application is reviewed and approved on a local level, i.e by the local NMPA branch
 - Class III application are reviewed by NMPA centrally.

Imported devices

■ Class I devices: record-filing requirement ☐ Class II and Class III devices:

registration/approval requirement

All reviewed by NMPA centrally

Latest Updates

- ☐ A Unique Device Identification (UDI) Database is currently under creation intended to record the expiry and production dates of medical devices, device models and the alphanumeric UDI code of each device.
- The Chinese Clinical Trial Management System has been updated to facilitate the review of clinical data conducted outside of China.
- According to the 2018 draft amendment, foreign manufacturers will be allowed to import innovative devices (as per the chinese definition) without providing market entry approval certificates from the country the device was manufactured in.

An overview of regulation of medical devices in China (2/2)



Central-level NMPA registration process

one year). For Class I applications self-test reporting Preparation of a China Clinical Evaluation*. Class I devices may not be required to provide clinical	ized testing center to obtain a valid testing report (only valid for
 a) manufacturers/potential importers should conducted outside of China are subject to ap b) conducting clinical trials on high-risk devices 	s in China is restricted and require NMPA approval document (PTR) and submission of an application dossier for a
per Announcement No. 64/2014. Dossiers and all included documentation Certificates are valid for 5 years. A renew	of compliance with China-specific GMP requirements as must be submitted in simplified Chinese. all application should be submitted 6 months prior to the where the original registration submission took place.
Only exempted devices per Announcements No. 94/2018 & No. 91/2019 are allowed to submit a simplified CER. All other devices should submit a full China Clinical Evaluation, which differs from EU CERs and requires the comparison of the device in scope with an equivalent device already approved in China, if applicable. Equivalence must be proven in terms of: intended use the device's basic principles of operation manufacturing details production processes performance requirements clinical safety aspects alignment with national technical	 A China Clinical Evaluation report should cover the following items: Intended use Labeling statements on contraindications, precautions, warnings and use specifications Basic principle of operation Device components Related production technology Manufacturing materials Safety evaluation National technical standards Verification & validation with respect to sterilization and packaging Labels and Instruction of Use

standards